

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

IN RE: . Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)  
.  
.  
.  
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, . 701 East Broad Street  
INC., . Richmond, VA 23219  
.  
.  
Debtor. . August 23, 2010  
. . . . . 10:04 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING  
BEFORE HONORABLE KEVIN R. HUENNEKENS  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: McGuire Woods, LLP  
By: SARAH B. BOEHM, ESQ.  
One James Center  
901 E. Cary Street  
Richmond, VA 23219  
  
Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom, LLP  
By: IAN FREDERICKS, ESQ.  
One Rodney Square  
Wilmington, DE 19899  
  
For the Committee: Tavenner & Beran, LLC  
By: LYNN TAVENNER, ESQ.  
701 East Broad Street  
Richmond, VA 23219

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript  
produced by transcription service

---

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.  
268 Evergreen Avenue  
Hamilton, New Jersey 08619  
E-mail: [jjcourt@jjcourt.com](mailto:jjcourt@jjcourt.com)  
Website: [www.jjcourt.com](http://www.jjcourt.com)

(609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

1 COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. The United States  
2 Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia is now in  
3 session. The Honorable Kevin R. Huennekens presiding. Please  
4 be seated and come to order.

5 In the matter of Circuit City Stores, Incorporated,  
6 hearing on Items 1 through 27 as set out on today's agenda.

7 THE COURT: Before we get started I just wanted to  
8 make an announcement. Seated to my left next to Ms. Ross is my  
9 new law clerk Aaron Bachman who will be with me for the next  
10 year. Aaron has accepted a position with McGuire Woods when  
11 he's done clerking for me this year. So, he will not be  
12 working on the Circuit City case, and he's in here only to  
13 observe for today. You may proceed.

14 MS. BOEHM: Thank you, Your Honor, Sarah Boehm from  
15 McGuire Woods on behalf of the debtors. Items 1 and 2 on the  
16 agenda today are Madcow's motions for payment of administrative  
17 expenses in 503(b)(9) claim. These matters were resolved by  
18 settlement agreement and stipulation which was filed when the  
19 objection deadline ran on August 9th. So, they can be marked  
20 as resolved.

21 THE COURT: All right.

22 MS. BOEHM: Item Number 3 is the debtor's objection  
23 to the claim of the New York State Department of Taxation and  
24 Finance. This has been resolved, and a settlement agreement  
25 was filed. The objection deadline does not run until August

1 30th, and if there are no objections it will be resolved at  
2 that time.

3 THE COURT: All right.

4 MS. BOEHM: Item 4 is the debtor's objection to the  
5 claim of Quebecor World (USA). This matter is being adjourned  
6 to August 31st at 10 a.m.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 MS. BOEHM: Items 5 through 25 are status hearings on  
9 the various omnibus claim objection matters on behalf of the  
10 debtors. I'm just going to briefly go through these, Your  
11 Honor. Items 5 through 19, all of the claims are included in  
12 those omnibus objections set forth in Items 5 through 19 are  
13 being further adjourned for a status hearing on September 27th  
14 at two o'clock.

15 Item Number 20 was the debtor's 60th omnibus  
16 objection. We had notice for a hearing on the merits. One  
17 response to that objection that was filed by Phillip Lee Seale.  
18 That matter has been resolved, and an order will be submitted  
19 disallowing that claim in accordance with the omnibus  
20 objection. The other items in omnibus -- the 60th omnibus  
21 objection will be further adjourned for status on September  
22 27th at two o'clock.

23 THE COURT: All right.

24 MS. BOEHM: Items 21 through 25 are the remaining  
25 omnibus objections that were scheduled for status. And all the

1 claims included in those objections are further adjourned to  
2 September 27th at two o'clock.

3 THE COURT: All right, very good.

4 MS. BOEHM: Item 26 and 27 deal with a Mitsubishi  
5 adversary proceeding, and Mr. Fredericks is here to address  
6 those today.

7 THE COURT: Very good.

8 MS. BOEHM: Thank you.

9 MR. FREDERICKS: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Ian  
10 Fredericks of Skadden Arps on behalf of the debtors.

11 The remaining two matters, Matter 26 and 27, relate  
12 to the Mitsubishi adversary proceeding. One is a motion to  
13 dismiss, and one is the debtor's motion to dismiss one count of  
14 the complaint, and one is the debtor's motion for summary  
15 judgment. They are set -- we've adjourned them to the next  
16 omnibus hearing on the 31st and our intention is to go forward  
17 with them unless we are able to reach a resolution -- a global  
18 resolution with Mitsubishi before that.

19 We are in settlement discussions. We are pretty far  
20 apart right now, but are trying to exchange information  
21 consensually. And if we can get it done before the hearing we  
22 will and we'll certainly let Your Honor know.

23 THE COURT: All right. But, otherwise we're going to  
24 hear those on the 31st.

25 MR. FREDERICKS: Correct.

1 THE COURT: All right, very good. I'll be ready for  
2 that then.

3 MR. FREDERICKS: Thank you, Your Honor. Unless Your  
4 Honor has any questions that's all the matters on --

5 THE COURT: I do.

6 MR. FREDERICKS: Okay.

7 THE COURT: Which is where are we standing as far as  
8 the 8th of September, are we still on track? Have we heard  
9 anything with regard to the tax letter?

10 MR. FREDERICKS: As far as -- I'll take that in two  
11 parts. As far as being on track, we're on track as far as  
12 everything that's within our control. We've filed all the plan  
13 documents. I believe we filed them all and served them on the  
14 9th. So, there was an agreement reached on all of those and  
15 everything was filed.

16 With respect to the revenue ruling over the last  
17 several weeks -- and I don't know if anybody from Pachulski  
18 firm is on the phone -- over the last couple of weeks we've --  
19 the Canadian debtor's tax advisors and the committee's tax  
20 advisors have had multiple discussions with Canada Revenue  
21 ruling. They've raised a number of questions, asked for  
22 additional information, and my understanding is that they are  
23 currently exchanging information. As of right now we do not  
24 have kind of an updated timing on when we might receive the  
25 ruling or when they might be satisfied with the additional

1 submissions we've made. We are filing the notice to formally  
2 set the hearing on September 8th, but I would venture to guess  
3 that most likely confirmation would be adjourned from the 8th.

4 THE COURT: And that's because you don't anticipate  
5 getting the revenue ruling prior to that day?

6 MR. FREDERICKS: Well, I mean, we're at the 23rd now,  
7 so we're looking at roughly, you know, 17 days for them to  
8 issue the ruling. It's certainly possible. I just don't want  
9 to -- you know, we could give you probably a better update on  
10 the 31st. But, given that we're almost essentially two weeks  
11 away from that hearing and there isn't an obvious date in  
12 sight, I would say more than likely it'll be adjourned from the  
13 8th. But, we can certainly give you more of an update on the  
14 31st.

15 THE COURT: The information that was requested by the  
16 committee and authorities, is that -- was that unanticipated,  
17 or was that something that was initiated by the company, or how  
18 did that come about?

19 MR. FREDERICKS: A bit of history will probably help  
20 with this. I mean, if you go back to when the process started  
21 with the Canadian Revenue Administration it started with there  
22 being no mention of a liquidating trust for fear that it might  
23 -- they don't have a similar concept in Canada under the law,  
24 so for fear that it might further complicate the matters, and  
25 at the time there wasn't the French tax issue out there, so we

1 submitted that ruling and had no mention of a liquidating  
2 trust. Since we've introduced the liquidating trust it's, you  
3 know, various rulings I think either two or three iterations of  
4 the ruling have been submitted to the CRA. Each time we've  
5 submitted it they have come back with questions being that it's  
6 a concept that they're not familiar with. So they've raised  
7 questions. And issues, we've tried to address those.

8           As we've tried to address those I think that kind of  
9 new tangential issues have arisen that we've introduced into  
10 the ruling to make sure that it comes back in the most tax  
11 efficient way. And sometimes those issues or sometimes the way  
12 we've answered Canada's prior questions have just given rise to  
13 new issues. To say that they aren't expected by us, I frankly  
14 can't answer that question totally. Some of them probably are  
15 unexpected. Some of them are things that we always thought may  
16 have been a risk that the CRA might raise. And as a result  
17 we're just trying to work through those with them.

18           THE COURT: I guess the reason I asked the question  
19 is, the last time we were here Mr. Galardi had indicated that  
20 sort of the ruling was imminent and we were sort waiting for it  
21 any day. And so I was surprised to hear that there was an  
22 exchange of new information back and forth which would suggest  
23 to me that maybe there was some problem or some additional  
24 concerns with the ability to issue the ruling in the time frame  
25 within which the parties have expected.

1 MR. FREDERICKS: At the time when Mr. Galardi made  
2 that it was -- the information we had received from the  
3 committee's tax professional that was the indication we got was  
4 that they were going to issue the ruling. They thought it may  
5 come as early as the end of the month, end of July, and that  
6 the person was going on vacation and we expected to receive it  
7 thereafter. When the person returned from vacation -- the  
8 person within the CRA returned from vacation that's when we  
9 started receiving a lot of inquiries and a lot more questions.  
10 And I think you're referring to the August 4th hearing. I  
11 think that was the period where the person was on vacation.  
12 So, these came as a bit of surprise to the debtors and I'm sure  
13 to the committee, as well.

14 THE COURT: All right. At this point have all the  
15 inquiries been answered, or do you anticipate additional  
16 inquiries?

17 MR. FREDERICKS: I do not believe -- I believe some  
18 of the inquiries -- most of the inquiries, to my understanding,  
19 have been answered. There are somewhere -- previously one of  
20 the issues that came up was whether or not an acquisition of  
21 control would occur. The most analogous situation in the U.S.  
22 would be a change in control of a company and whether or not a  
23 liquidating trust would trigger that. That's probably raised  
24 the most questions, you know, from Canada. And Canada's  
25 actually requesting that we take a position on that which



1 requires us to before where it was a possibility at some point  
2 in the future that Canada may take that position, now they're  
3 asking potentially for us to take a position down on the front  
4 end which would have -- which now the Canadian debtors and I  
5 believe the committee's counsel want to work through all of the  
6 potential negative implications from that, if there are any.  
7 There may be none. But, they need to now work through that  
8 entire analysis on the front end as opposed to on the back end  
9 where it would occur kind of during an audit period.

10 THE COURT: All right. Has the committee been in the  
11 loop on all of this?

12 MR. FREDERICK: I'll certainly let them speak, but my  
13 understanding is, yes, that the Canadian debtors -- it's PWC  
14 who's been handling it primarily for the Canadian debtors have  
15 been in pretty constant communications with the committees, the  
16 representatives from Gallings (phonetic). And Gallings has  
17 also been on the phone, those phone calls were there available  
18 with the CRA, but I'll certainly let --

19 THE COURT: All right.

20 MS. TAVENNER: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Lynn  
21 Tavenner appearing on behalf of the committee. I do not anyone  
22 from Pachulski is on the phone.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MS. TAVENNER: But, just to let Your Honor know  
25 everything that Mr. Fredericks said we certainly know about,

1 have heard about. The one thing that I did want to alert the  
2 Court to is, we still are hopeful that September 8th can occur,  
3 and very much want to keep that date and the notice is going  
4 out today, I believe, to put that on the docket. Whether or  
5 not that can occur, I don't know, but we certainly are hopeful  
6 that it will and are doing everything within our power to  
7 provide any information to make that happen.

8 THE COURT: All right.

9 MR. FREDERICKS: And as of the debtors, Your Honor, I  
10 certainly didn't want to imply that -- we're hopeful September  
11 8th happens, too. I'm just trying to be as forthright with the  
12 Court as possible.

13 THE COURT: All right. I appreciate that. The Court  
14 shares the desire to try to get this confirmed on the 8th. And  
15 so I hope that we can move toward that date. I do understand  
16 and appreciate your very initial comment which was everything  
17 in our power, and I realize there's certain things that are not  
18 in your power and control.

19 MR. FREDERICKS: And just by way, you know, to also  
20 give you an update, one of the things -- having filed the  
21 revised plan we've been reaching out to all the parties that  
22 filed the objections to the original plan. One of the things  
23 we tried to do and revise the plan was to address as many of  
24 those objections as we could. We're now reaching out to them  
25 by e-mail to confirm that their objections have either been

1 resolved, or some of their objections have been resolved and  
2 others they want to continue to push just to try to narrow the  
3 issues for ultimately when we do go to confirmation. So, we're  
4 proceeding right now on the basis that we're going forward on  
5 September 8th and we're trying to get as much done,  
6 consensually, before that.

7 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Is  
8 there any other matter that we need to take up in Circuit City  
9 this afternoon?

10 MR. FREDERICKS: None from the debtors, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: All right. Then we'll be adjourned.

12 \* \* \* \* \*

13 C E R T I F I C A T I O N

14 I, KIMBERLY UPSHUR, court approved transcriber,  
15 certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the  
16 official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the  
17 above-entitled matter, and to the best of my ability.

18

19 /s/ Kimberly Upshur

20 KIMBERLY UPSHUR

21 J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. DATE: September 8, 2010

22

23

24

25